

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/910,114	07/20/2001	Joseph R. LaLonde	1617.00001 1674	
7590 05/04/2004		EXAMINER		
Bliss McGlynn & Nolan, P.C.			MOY, JOSEPH MAN	
Suite 600 2075 West Big Beaver Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Troy, MI 4808			3727	14
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그 그			
i .		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	Office Action Summer:	09/9/01/4	Calo	~) ~ .
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Joseph Moy	3727	
Period	 The MAILING DATE of this communication ap for Reply 	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	aress
THE - Ex - If t - If t - Fa - An - ear	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. tensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period filture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut y reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE g date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailling date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)[[\emptyset Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{\checkmark}$	4.87		
2a)[∫	∄ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This	action is non-final.		
3)[Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under a	•		merits is
Disposi	ition of Claims			٦.
4)应	tion of Claims -5/7/19-14, 17/15-23 Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	on.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) // is/are allowed.			
6)区	Claim(s) / / is/are rejected.	. ·		
7)□	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers			
· ·	The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the \square	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
445	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			• •
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive	on No	Stage
13)□	See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the fir 37 CFR 1.78.	of the certified copies not receive ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(est sentence of the specification or	e) (to a provisional in an Application l	
	 a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 			a specific
	reference was included in the first sentence of the			
Attachme	nt(s)			
1)	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal Pa		
3) L Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	. 6) Other: .		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Serial Number: 09/910,114

Art Unit: 3727

The appealed brief filed 02/19/04 has been carefully considered. In view of the following new ground of rejection, the prosecution has been reopened

The 116 amendment dated 1/12/04 have been entered.

Claims 1,3-5,7-13 have been allowed as none of the references shows the limitation of "a second end extending generally perpendicular to said longitudinal axis of said sleeve".

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action: A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 14,17,19-23 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Skelton (Figure 10). Skelton shows all the structure of the device as recited by the claims. Skelton shows the sleeve 64 and it is in cylindrical shape. Claims do not specific that the sleeve has to be a close loop.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14,17,19-23 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skelton (figure 10) in view of Busch. Busch shows a close cylindrical sleeve. It would have been obvious to make the open sleeve of Skelton a close sleeve as taught by Busch in order to enhance the handling process of providing more security and stability of the thumb in the close sleeve.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14,17,19-23 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this office action will be directed to Examiner Joseph Moy, (703) 308-1145.

Joseph Manfu Moy Primary Examiner

Date: 05/01/2004